PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 042881-0207	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
	International filing date (day/month/year) 01 April 2005 (01.04.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 01 April 2004 (01.04.2004)		
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant BIOMIRA, INC.				

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 <i>bis</i> .1(a).			
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.			
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.			
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
	Box No. II	Priority		
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI Certain documents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application		
4.		ommunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority		

	Date of issuance of this report 04 October 2006 (04.10.2006)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Cecile Chatel
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	e-mail: pt13@wipo.int

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the REC'D 15 FEB INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY SIMKIN, MICHELE M. c/o FOLEY & LARDNER LLP WRITTEN OPINION OF THE Washington Harbour INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY 3000 K Street, N.W. (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Suite 500 Washington, District of Columbia United States, 20007 Date of mailing 27 January 2006 (27-01-2006) (day/month/year) FOR FURTHER ACTION Applicant's or agent's file reference See paragraph 2 below 042881-0207 International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. 01 April 2005 (01-04-2005) 01 April 2004 (01-04-2004) PCT/IB2005/002479 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC: A61K 39/00 (2006.01), A61P 35/00 (2006.01), A61K 38/17 (2006.01) Applicant BIOMIRA INC. ET AL 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items : Basis of the opinion [X] Box No. I [X] Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability [X] Box No. III Lack of unity of invention [] Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial [X] Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited 1 Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application [] Box No. VII Certain observations on the international application [X] Box No. VIII 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/CA
Canadian Intellectual Property Office
Place du Portage I, C114 - 1st Floor, Box PCT
50 Victoria Street
Gatineau, Quebec K1A 0C9
Facsimile No.: 001(819)953-2476

Date of completion of this opinion

9 January 2006 (09-01-2006)

Qianfa Chen (819) 994-1374

together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration

International application No. PCT/IB2005/002479

Bo	x No	o. I	Basis of this opinion	
1.	Wit	h regar	d to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of	
	[X]] the	international application in the language in which it was filed	
	[_	inslation of the international application into	, which is the language of a
		tran	slation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.	3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2.	Wit inv	th regar ention,	d to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the in this opinion has been established on the basis of:	International application and necessary to the claimed
	a.	type of	material	
		[X]	a sequence listing	· ·
		[]	table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	ъ.	format	of material	
		[X]	on paper	:
		[X]	in electronic form	i '
	c.	time of	filing/furnishing	<u>'</u>
		[]	contained in the international application as filed.	•
		[]	filed together with the international application in electronic form	i
		[X]	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search	
3	I		addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence of the filed or furnished, the required statement that the information in the	
•		app	n filed or furnished, the required statement that the information in a lication as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as a	propriate, were furnished.
				:
4.	Ad	lditiona	l comments :	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				:
				1
				t
				i
				•
			9	1
				1
			•	
				:

International application No. PCT/IB2005/002479

Вох	No. I	I Priority	
1.		possession a copy of the earlier application. This opinion has neverthele	ot been considered because the International Searching Authority does not have in its tion whose priority has been claimed or, where required, a translation of that earlier less been established on the assumption that the relevant date (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1) is the
		claimed priority date.	·
•		TTI 1	no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been
2.	ı J	found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1).	no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is
		considered to be the relevant date.	
3	Additi	ional observations, if necessary:	
٠.	. 1441II	COLUMN COURT TANNOLING IL MANAGORING T	
			i
			•
			·
			1
			1
			, !
			•
			e.
			;
			- 1 - 1
			1 3
i			·

International application No. PCT/IB2005/002479

Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
The question applicable h	as whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially ave not been examined in respect of:
[]	the entire international application
[X]	claim Nos. <u>1-30</u>
because	
[X]	the said international application, or the said claim Nos. 1-30 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search (specify):
	Claims 1-30, directed to a method for treatment of the human or animal body by surgery or therapy, are not required to be searched nor is a written opinion required by this Authority under Rule 67.1(iv) of the PCT. Regardless, this Authority has established a written opinion based on the alleged effect(s) or purpose(s)/use(s) of the product defined in claims 1-30.
[]	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claim Nos.
LJ	are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
	·
r 1	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported
ſJ	by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
	111 1 1 Consideration Nation
[]	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:
[]	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence fisting; the applicant did not, within the presented time than a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence fisting; the applicant did not, within the presented time times. [] furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative
	Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	[] furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	[] pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rule 13ter.1(a) or (b).
[]	11 11 C 11 11 c 12 11 11 and the tables related to the cognience lictings: the applicant did not, within the
[]	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the
	technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
l ,	See Supplemental Box for further details.

Claims

Claims

1-30

International application No. PCT/IB2005/002479

YES

NO

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; Box No. V citations and explanations supporting such statement 1. Statement YES Claims 2 and 19 Novelty (N) NO 1,3-18 and 20-30 Claims YES Claims Inventive step (IS) NO 1-30 Claims

2. Citations and explanations:

Reference is made to the following documents:

Industrial applicability (IA)

D1: MORSE, M.A. Technology evaluation: BLP-25, Biomira Inc. Curr. Opin. Mol. Ther. February 2001, Vol.3, No.1, Pages 102-105, ISSN: 1464-8431.

See the entire document

D2: US 2002/0051813 A1 (BONI, L. et al.), 2 May 2002

See paragraphs 0039, 0043 and 0044.

D3: WO 02/43699 A2 (BONI, L. et al.), 6 June 2002

See Page 8, line 25 to page 9, line 27.

D4: SCHUT, I.C. et al. MUC1 expression, splice variant and short form transcription (MUC1/Z, MUC1/Y) in prostate cell lines and tissue. BJU International, February 2003, Vol. 91, No.3, Pages 278-283, ISSN: 1464-4096. See abstract.

D1 describes a method for treating an individual having various cancers such as non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with a liposomal formulation comprising a MUC-1 peptide-based vaccine, wherein the formulation comprises a 25-amino acid MUC-1 peptide (BLP-25) and adjuvant (monophosphoryl lipid A). In a phase I study of 16 patients with either stage IIIb or IV NSCLC, a single dose of cyclophosphamide was given to inhibit suppressor T-cell function. The patients received 20-200 µg of BLP-25 subcutaneously on weeks 0, 2, 5 and 9. In a phase II trial of BLP-25 in patients with advanced NSCLC, higher or more frequent dosing of BLP-25 in combination with cytokine IL-2 was administered. The evaluation of the treated patients comprises measuring the induction of immune response such as a T-cell response against MUC-1, the eradication of tumour, and the survival of the treated patients. The amino acid sequence of BLP-25 of D1 is identical to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 of the instant international application.

D2 and D3 separately describe a method for the delivery of an anti-tumour vaccine which comprises liposomes containing a synthetic MUC-1 peptide (a preferred tumour antigen), monophosphoyl Lipid A, and/or IL2. Many human adenocarcinomas, such as breast, colon, lung, ovarian and pancreatic cancers, abundantly overexpress and secrete underglycosylated MUC-1 protein. A high level of MUC-1 expression is associated with a high metastatic potential and poor prognosis. MUC-1 is, therefore, a clinically significant marker of these cancers. A preferred

[Continuation in Supplemental Box]

International application No. PCT/IB2005/002479

Box No. VIII	Certain	observations -	on the	international	application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

D. Description Defects

The description does not comply with Article 5 of the PCT. A statement in an application, such as found on page 1, line 6, page 31, line 17, which incorporates by reference any other document, does not comply with Article 5 PCT. The description should be complete in and of itself. A person skilled in the art should be able to understand the patent specification without reference to any other document.

E. Claim Defects

Claims 1 and 2 do not comply with Article 6 of the PCT. Referring to a polypeptide by the expression "a variant of" an amino acid sequence without defining the nature of the variation is meaningless.

Claims 12 and 30 are ambiguous, when they depend on claim 2, and do not comply with Article 6 of the PCT. According to the description, the claimed stages of cancers in claims 12 and 30 relate to lung cancer not prostate cancer.

Claim 16 does not comply with Article 6 of the PCT. The claim is ambiguous in that the Markush set elements are listed in the alternative (i.e., or) rather than collective (i.e., and).

In claim 22, "MUC-1" should probably read "MUC-1 peptide".

International application No. PCT/IB2005/002479

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of:

MUC-1 peptide is BP1-148 (27 amino acids) with a palmitoyl group at the epsilon amino group of the C-terminal lysine. The amino acid sequence of BP1-148 of D2 or D3 is identical to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 of the instant international application.

D4 describes that benign prostatic hyperplasia, prostate cancer and metastatic prostate cancer all express high levels of underglycosylated MUC-1.

A. Novelty

Claims 1, 18 and their dependent claims 3-17 and 20-30 when they relate to SEQ ID NO. 1 and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), lack novelty and do not comply with Article 33(2) of the PCT, as being anticipated by D1 which describes a method for treating an individual having various cancers such as NSCLC with a liposomal formulation of MUC-1 peptide-based vaccine, wherein the formulation comprises a 25-amino acid MUC-1 peptide (BLP-25) and adjuvant (monophosphoryl lipid A). The amino acid sequence of BLP-25 of D1 is identical to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 of the instant international application.

Claims 1, 18 and their dependent claims 3-17 and 20-30 when they relate to SEQ ID NO. 2 and NSCLC, lack novelty and do not comply with Article 33(2) of the PCT, as being anticipated by D2 or D3. D2 or D3 separately describe a method for the delivery of an anti-lung cancer vaccine which comprises liposomes containing a synthetic MUC-1 peptide (BP1-148) and monophosphoyl Lipid A. The amino acid sequence of BP1-148 of D2 or D3 is identical to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 of the current international application.

B. Inventive Steps

Claims 2, 19 and their dependent claims 3-17 and 20-30 when they relate to SEQ ID NOs: 1 or 2 and prostate cancer, do not comply with Article 33(3) of the PCT. The subject matter of these claims would have been obvious on the claim date to a person skilled in the art or science to which it pertains having regard D1, D2 or D3 in combination with D4. D1, D2 or D3 separately describe a method for the delivery of an anti-tumour vaccine which comprises liposomes containing a synthetic MUC-1 peptide (e.g., BLP-25 in D1 and BP1-148 in D2 or D3) and monophosphoyl Lipid A. The anti-tumour vaccine can be used to treat various cancers based on the fact that many human adenocarcinomas, such as breast, colon, lung, ovarian and pancreatic cancers, abundantly overexpress and secrete underglycosylated MUC-1 protein. D1, D2 or D3 does not describe the MUC-1 vaccine (BLP-25 or BP1-148) for treating prostate cancer. However, D4 describes that benign prostatic hyperplasia, prostate cancer and metastatic prostate cancer all express high levels of underglycosylated MUC-1 as compared to normal cells, analogous to expression levels of secreted underglycosylated MUC-1 protein in other cancers. Therefore, it would be obvious to a person skilled in the art to follow the teachings of D4 to use the MUC-1 vaccine (BLP-25) of D1 or the MUC-1 vaccine (BP1-148) of D2 or D3 for treating the prostate cancer of D4 thereby arriving at the subject matter of claims 2, 19 and the claims dependent thereon.

C. Industrial Applicability

Claims 1-30 have industrial applicability as defined under Article 33(4) of the PCT.